Testing Data from the Measurement of Hexavalent Chromium in Representative Steel Slags

Rock J. Vitale, CEAC David A. Gratson, CEAC

September 20, 2023



Agenda

- Chemistry 101 First Total Chromium Exists in Two Forms
 - Hexavalent Cr [Cr(VI)] considered harmful over time by inhalation
 - Trivalent Cr [Cr(III)] considered an essential nutrient
 - Cr(VI) can be reduced to Cr(III) with reductant material (ferrous iron) Fe(II)
 - Cr(III) can be oxidized to Cr(IV) with oxidizing material (manganese O₂) MnO₂
- Slag Uses and Components
- Study Objectives
 - Is there Cr(VI) in Iron and Steel Slag?
 - Do conventional leaching methods represent accurate and true measurements given the amounts of *iron and manganese* in slag?
- Study Design & Results
 - Three conventional leaching methods, then Cr(VI) analysis of the leachates
 - Unconventional analysis XANES
- Questions



Chromium Reaction – Redox Chemistry

- Cr(VI) can be reduced to Cr(III) with reducing material (ferrous iron) Fe(II)
- Cr(III) can be oxidized to Cr(IV) with oxidizing material (manganese O_2) Mn O_2



Figure source: Interplay of transport processes and interfacial chemistry affecting chromium reduction and reoxidation with iron and manganese | SpringerLink



Slag Uses & Components

- Aggregate Material
 - Residential ground cover Unconfined use
 - Road construction applications Confined use
 - Added to concrete Used as an ingredient
- Major Components
 - Iron and manganese (others, but...)
 - Just mix slag with various <u>leaching</u> liquids
 - Soluble Fe and Mn can react with and can then reduce or oxidize Cr
 - When Fe and/or Mn dissolve in solution it can change the pH and ORP
 - Positive ORP is OXIDIZING Negative ORP is REDUCING

Component	Iron & Steel Slags
Са	20-52%
Si	10-30%
Fe	10-40%
Mn	5-8%
Mg	5-10%
Al	1-20%
Cr	<1% - 3.4%



Slag Test Samples

11 Source Materials with focus on EAF type

- Two Particle Sizes Fine and Coarse
 - Fine ground to ASTM 60 Mesh (0.25 mm)
 - The coarse aggregate (everything that falls through ½ inch sieve)

Material Type	Raw Materials and Mill Type	Total Cr (mg/kg)
ACBF	Integrated Mill	28
BOF	Integrated Mill	3000
EAF LMF	Sheet Mill and Beam Mill, Scrap and DRI	3100
EAF LMF	Bar Mill, Scrap and DRI	5000
EAF LMF	Hot Rolled Bands, Scrap	2900
EAF LMF	Flat Roll, Scrap and Hot Liquid Iron	3000
EAF-C	Sheet Mill and Beam Mill, Scrap and DRI	3400
EAF-C	Hot Rolled Bands, Scrap	3800
EAF-C	Flat Roll, Scrap and Hot Liquid Iron	3000
LMF	Hot Rolled Bands, Scrap	200
LMF	Flat Roll, Scrap and Hot Liquid Iron	210



Conventional (Leaching Then) Cr(VI) Analysis

- Leaching Types Soluble Cr(VI), Exchangeable Cr(VI) and Total Cr(VI)
 - ASTM D3987-12 Soluble Cr(VI)
 - Deionized water agitated for 18 hours at 21°C, 0.45-µm filter
 - Phosphate Buffer Exchangeable Cr(VI)
 - Phosphate buffer solution prepared at pH 7.0
 - US EPA Method 3060A Total Cr(VI)
 - Environmentally relevant, US EPA method for Cr(VI) in solid samples
 - The solution pH (> 11.5) designed (*in theory*, for environmental media) to prevent both reduction [Cr(VI) to Cr(III)] and oxidation [Cr(III) to Cr(IV)]





Experimental Design

Quality Control Samples –Replicates - show us how reproducible the leaching/analysis isMatrix Spikes - show if we add 2 ppm of Cr(VI), what percentage do we get back?
We do soluble matrix spikes (easy) and insoluble matrix spikes (harder)Certified Reference Materials (CRMs) – show us if we are close we are to a certified value

Soluble (ASTM)	Slag Type	Number of Material Types	Number of Replicat es within a Type	Number of Soluble Cr(VI) Spikes included (all three procedures)	Number of Insoluble Cr(VI) Spikes (3060A only)	Number of Analyses with three leaching procedures
Exchangeable	ACBF	1	1	0	0	6
(Phosphate	BOF	1	1	1	2	11
Buffer)	EAF LMF	4	6	2	4	40
	EAF-C	3	5	2	4	34
	LMF	2	1	0	0	9
Total (3060A)					Total	100
				Total at t	wo particle sizes	200



Ground via ring and puck mill

ORP and pH – Prepared in Deionized Water Slurries

Material			ORP <mark>FINE</mark>	ORP COARSE
Туре	рн - <mark>гихс</mark>	ph – <mark>COARSE</mark>	(REDUCING)	(OXIDIZING)
ACBF	11.5	10.8	-93	+120
BOF	12.2	12.2	-22	+160
EAF LMF	12.2	12.2	-112	+140
EAF LMF	11.9	11.7	-12	+180
EAF LMF	11.8	11.6	16	+190
EAF LMF	11.8	11.8	-28	+100
EAF-C	11.8	11.8	14	+210
EAF-C	11.5	11.3	-4	+280
EAF-C	11.6	11.4	0	+260
LMF	11.9	11.2	-92	+210
LMF	11.9	12.2	-52	+150

Unexpected Outcome – While the pH is similar, the ORP for Fine vs. Course is Substantially Different So ... the Fine can reduce Cr(VI) to Cr(III) and Course can oxidize Cr(III) to Cr(IV) - except EAF-C



ASTM D3987 – Soluble Cr(VI)

Material	<mark>Fine</mark> (mg/kg) (Redu	ucing)	ing) Coarse (mg/kg) (Oxio		
Туре	Primary	Duplicate	Triplicate	Primary	Duplicate	Triplicate
ACBF	ND (0.1)	ND (0.1)		ND (0.1)	ND (0.1)	
BOF	ND (0.1)	0.15	0.13	0.34	0.42	0.50
EAF LMF	ND (0.1)	ND (0.1)		ND(0.1)		
EAF LMF	ND (0.1)	ND (0.1)	ND (0.1)	2.2	2.2	2.0
EAF LMF	ND (0.1)	ND (0.1)		ND (0.1)	ND (0.1)	
EAF LMF	ND (0.1)	ND (0.1)	ND (0.1)	ND (0.1)	ND (0.1)	ND (0.1)
EAF-C	ND (0.1)	ND (0.1)	0.13	ND (0.1)	ND (0.1)	ND (0.1)
EAF-C	ND (0.1)	ND (0.1)	ND (0.1)	0.74	0.70	0.73
EAF-C	ND (0.1)	0.11		0.44	0.50	
LMF	ND (0.1)	ND (0.1)		ND (0.1)	0.25	
LMF	ND (0.1)	ND (0.1)		ND (0.1)	ND (0.1)	



Phosphate Buffer – Exchangeable Cr(VI)

Material	Fine (mg/kg) (Reducing)			Coarse (mg/kg) (Oxidizing)		
Туре	Primary	Duplicate	Triplicate	Primary	Duplicate	Triplicate
ACBF	ND (0.2)	ND (0.2)		ND (0.2)	ND (0.2)	
BOF	ND (0.2)	ND (0.2)	ND (0.2)	1.5	1.7	1.7
EAF LMF	ND (0.2)	ND (0.2)		ND (0.2)	ND (0.2)	
EAF LMF	ND (0.2)	ND (0.2)	ND (0.2)	ND (0.2)	ND (0.2)	ND (0.20)
EAF LMF	ND (0.2)	ND (0.2)		ND (0.2)	ND (0.2)	
EAF LMF	ND (0.2)	ND (0.2)	ND (0.2)	ND (0.2)	ND (0.2)	ND (0.2)
EAF-C	ND (0.2)	ND (0.2)	ND (0.2)	ND (0.2)	ND (0.2)	ND (0.2)
EAF-C	ND (0.2)	ND (0.2)	ND (0.2)	0.21	0.22	0.29
EAF-C	ND (0.2)	ND (0.2)		0.16	ND (0.20)	
LMF	ND (0.2)	ND (0.2)		ND (0.2)	ND (0.2)	
LMF	ND (0.2)	ND (0.2)		ND (0.2)	ND (0.2)	



US EPA 3060A – Total Cr(VI)

Material	Fine (mg/kg) (Reducing)			Coarse (mg/kg) (Oxidizing)		
Туре	Primary	Duplicate	Triplicate	Primary	Duplicate	Triplicate
ACBF	ND (0.4)	ND		0.46	0.44	
BOF	4.7	10.5	ND (0.4)	11	10.5	10.4
EAF LMF	0.33J	0.48		1.2	0.78	
EAF LMF	ND (0.4)	ND	ND (0.4)	12	11.2	10.3
EAF LMF	ND (0.4)	ND		2.9	3.35	
EAF LMF	ND (0.4)	ND	ND (0.4)	1.5	1.4	1.2
EAF-C	ND (0.4)	ND	ND (0.4)	ND	ND	ND
EAF-C	ND (0.4)	ND	ND (0.4)	3.2	3.13	1.87
EAF-C	3.0	1.47		3.6	3.7	
LMF	ND (0.4)	ND		1.8	1.87	
LMF	ND (0.4)	ND		1.1	1.0	



Cr(VI) Matrix Spike Recoveries – Influenced by ORP

	US EPA 3060A <mark>Fine (Re</mark>	educing)	US EPA 3060A	oarse (Oxidizing)
Material Type	Soluble Cr(VI) spike (~40 mg/kg or as cited)	Insol. Cr(VI) Spike (~600 - 1000 mg/kg)	Soluble Cr(VI) spike (~40 mg/kg)	Insol. Cr(VI) Spike (~600 -1000 mg/kg)
BOF	68%, 118%	92%	87%	86%
EAF LMF	0% (40, 201, 383 mg/kg)	20%	87%	96%
EAF LMF	0% (40, 207, 398, 641 mg/kg)	0% (641 mg/kg)	91%	99%
EAF-C	0% (40 mg/kg), 10% (196 mg/kg), 58% (398 mg/kg), 60% (870 mg/kg)	61% (870 mg/kg)	110%	113%
EAF-C	0% (40 mg/kg), 30% (180 mg/kg), 76% (390 mg/kg), 80% (659 mg/kg)	82% (859 mg/kg)	88%	92%

Dissolved Fe in Fine reducing the Cr(VI) matrix spikes. The positive ORP in Coarse allows Cr(VI) spike to exist & recovered Substantial dissolved manganese (the highest) in BOF is countering the Fe in Fine allows Cr(VI) spike to exist & recovered



Conventional Analysis – Data Summary

- All sample slurries produced alkaline conditions with deionized water, as expected.
- Fine slurries yielded reducing conditions: ~200-400mV less than coarse aggregate.
 - Likely due to the solubilized Fe reductants.
- Coarse slurries show oxidizing conditions likely due to solubilized Mn oxidants.
- Cr(VI) levels are primarily observed in the (oxidizing) Coarse, single digit up to 12 mg/kg.
- Cr(VI) levels in the Fine is ND or sub-ppm (0.2-0.4) mg/kg. BOF is an exception.
- Matrix spiking illustrates reducing nature [Cr(VI) to Cr(III)] of the Fine material

All of these reactions seem to take place when we mix slag material with various types of solutions. So what if we analyze for Cr(VI) directly on the solid slags <u>without</u> the need to use leach solutions?



X-Ray Absorption Near Edge Structure (XANES)

X-ray fluorescence microprobe Brookhaven National Laboratory.



- A non-destructive (and unreactive) technique
- Three Slag Samples 30-µm thin sections mounted on low-element quartz slides.
- The slag samples were selected based on previous conventional leaching studies from 3060A Total Cr(VI) analysis.



XANES vs. Conventional

XANES is considered much less susceptible to interference, misidentification.

Material Type and Sample ID	XANES Cr+6 (mg/kg)	Conventional 2023 Total Cr(VI) (Coarse) mg/kg	Total Chromium (mg/kg)
BOF 1244-01	< 1.2	11, 10, 10	3000
EAF LMF 1175-01	< 1.8	12, 11, 10	5000
EAF-C 1209-01	< 1.5	3.2, 3.1, 1.9	3800



Conclusions

- Is Cr(VI) present in iron and steel slag?
 - XANES (nondestructive and unreactive technique) indicates no Cr(VI) down to < 2 mg/kg.
 - Conventional (Method 3060A) identified levels 2-12 mg/kg in the Coarse slag.
- Does the conventional analysis bias results?
 - YES Data strongly suggest sample-specific redox reactions are complex.
 - Mn and Fe forms are present at significant concentrations in slag.
 - Fe(II) can reduce Cr(VI) to Cr(III).
 - MnO₂ can oxidize Cr(III) to Cr(VI)





Thank You QUESTIONS?



Rock J. Vitale, CEAC Technical Director of Chemistry/ Senior Principal

